Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening



As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Directorate: Unildren's Services	Sufficiency			
Lead person: Rosie Fluin	Contact number: 2475793			
1. Title: Asquith Primary School, Morley				
Is this a:				
Strategy / Policy Service	ce / Function X Other			
If other, please specify Proposal to expand the school from a capacity of 210 pupils to 420 pupils with an increase in the admission limit from 30 to 60 from September 2014.				
2. Please provide a brief description of v	what you are screening			
To increase the reception intake at Asquith 60 places, increasing the school's capacity This will involve physically expanding the s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different		X
equality characteristics?		
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the	X	
policy or proposal?		
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or		Χ
procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by		
whom?		
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment		Χ
practices?		
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on		Χ
Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and		
harassment		
Advancing equality of opportunity		
Fostering good relations		

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4.**
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5.**

4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).

• How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)

The proposal is to increase the number of places at Asquith Primary School, Morley. The demographics of the area were considered when working up the proposal, such as the number of under 5's living nearest the school, parental preference trends and projections, and it was concluded that more capacity is required. The types of schools in the area were also considered, to ensure we adhere to our legal duty of ensuring parents are offered choice and diversity.

We will be consulting with those affected on this proposal in February/March 2013, including parents and prospective parents, governors and staff of the school and nearby schools, the Diocese, Councillors, MPs and local residents. Typically, respondents raise issues regarding the size of the proposed school, traffic and highways issues, and the reasons why that school has been selected.

Key findings

(think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

Age – a further 30 reception places will be created in the Morley area. If the proposal is agreed, the school will grow from reception upwards over a 7 year period. Disability – any new accommodation will meet DDA guidelines Positive impact on ensuring we promote choice and diversity

Actions

(think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

If the proposal is taken forward, the extra places at Asquith will be made available in reception from September 2014, parents will therefore have the option of applying for these places or choosing alternative schools.

During the consultation period, all views and responses will be considered equally.

5. If you are not already cointegration you will need to				
Date to scope and plan your	impact assessment:			
Date to complete your impact	ct assessment			
Lead person for your impact	accacement			
(Include name and job title)	assessifient			
(include flame and job title)				
6. Governance, ownership	and approval			
Please state here who has a	approved the actions and	out	comes of the screening	
Name	Job title		Date	
Liz Lowes	Senior Planning Manage	er	8 January 2013	
7. Publishing				
_	Il act as evidence that due	e re	gard to equality and diversity	
has been given. If you are n				
screening document will nee				
accoming accoming the same transfer	и по во разленова			
Please send a copy to the E	quality Team for publishir	ng		
	. , .	•		
Date screening completed		7 .	7 January 2013	
			•	
If relates to a Key Decision	n send to Corporate			
Governance	-			
Any other decision please	send to Equality			
Team (equalityteam@leed				

4

Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening



As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Directorate: Children's Services	Service area: Capacity Planning and Sufficiency			
Lead person: Rosie Fluin	Contact number: 2475793			
1. Title: East Ardsley Primary School				
Strategy / Policy Service	ce / Function X Other			
If other, please specify Proposal to expand the school from a capacity of 315 pupils to 420 pupils with an increase in the admission number of 45 to 60 with effect from September 2014				
2. Please provide a brief description of	what you are screening			
To increase the reception intake at East Air 60 places, increasing the school's capacity This will involve physically expanding the s	from 315 to 420, from September 2014.			

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different		X
equality characteristics?		
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the	X	
policy or proposal?		
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or		Χ
procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by		
whom?		
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment		Χ
practices?		
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on		Χ
Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and		
harassment		
Advancing equality of opportunity		
Fostering good relations		

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4.**
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5.**

4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).

• How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)

The proposal is to increase the number of places at East Ardsley Primary School. The demographics of the area were considered when working up the proposal, such as the number of under 5's living nearest the school, parental preference trends, and projections, and it was concluded that additional capacity is required. The types of schools in the area were also considered, to ensure we adhere to our legal duty of ensuring parents are offered choice and diversity.

We will be consulting with those affected on this proposal in February/March 2013, including parents and prospective parents, governors and staff of the school and nearby schools, the Diocese, Councillors, MPs and local residents. Typically, respondents raise issues regarding the size of the proposed school, traffic and highways issues, and the reasons why the school has been selected.

Key findings

(think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

Age – a further 15 reception places will be created in the East Ardsley area. If the proposal is agreed, the school will grow from reception upwards over a period of 7 years. Disability – any new accommodation will meet DDA guidelines. Positive impact on ensuring we promote choice and diversity.

Actions

(think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

If the proposal is taken forward, the extra places at East Ardsley will be made available in reception from September 2014, parents will therefore have the option of applying for these places or choosing alternative schools.

During the consultation period, all views and responses will be considered equally.

5. If you are not already co integration you will need to			
integration you will need to	carry out air impact ass	633	ment.
Date to scope and plan your	r impact assessment:		
Date to complete your impact	ct assessment		
Date to complete your impar	ot accocomont		
Lead person for your impact	assessment		
(Include name and job title)			
6. Governance, ownership	• •		
Please state here who has a	approved the actions and	out	comes of the screening
Name	Job title		Date
Liz Lowes	Senior Planning Manager		8 January 2013
7. Publishing			
This screening document wi	Il act as evidence that due	e re	gard to equality and diversity
has been given. If you are n	ot carrying out an indeper	ndei	nt impact assessment the
screening document will nee			•
	•		
Please send a copy to the E	quality Team for publishin	ng	
Date screening completed		7 1	Ionuany 2012
Date screening completed		/ J	January 2013
If relates to a Key Decision	n send to Corporate		
Governance	-		
Any other decision please			
Team (equalityteam@leed	s.gov.uk)		

4